Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/758,720	KAPUSNIAK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Pamela R. Schwartz</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Konkol.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
none		
Claims discussed: 1-19		
Prior art documents discussed: JP 09234948		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
ROLL TOTAL		4-4-4-11-4
(Examiner/SP) Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)
		·

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The material disclosed by the reference is not the same as that instantly claimed. It is not made from the same starting material as applicants' material, i.e. a halogenated aluminum, and while the reference discloses amorphous structure and X-ray diffraction, it does not teach or suggest that there are any peaks in the diffraction pattern exhibited by this material. These and other differences would lead one of ordinary skill in the art to conclude that the material of the reference differs from that instantly claimed..